Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,489	TURNER, MARK A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David D. Le	3681
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daren C. Davis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 48		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession of the provide a separative for applicant to provide a separative for applicant for applicant</li></ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
(Evaminar/CDE Cignatura)	nlicant/Annlicant's Pansacata	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pilcani/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows: Claim 48:

Line 3, "at least one of" has been deleted.

Line 4, "if the first drive assembly is actuated:" has been deleted. Line 10, "if the second drive assembly is actuated:" has been deleted.